arXiv:1610.05292v2 [math.CO] 20 Oct 2016

Short cycles in digraphs and the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture

Muhammad A. Khan

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Calgary

2500 University Drive NW, Calgary AB, Canada, T2N 1N4.

muhammkh@ucalgary.ca

Abstract

In the theory of digraphs, the study of cycles is a subject of great importance and has given birth to a number of deep questions such as the Behzad–Chartrand–Wall conjecture (1970) and its generalization, the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture (1978). Despite a lot of interest and efforts, the progress on these remains slow and mostly restricted to the solution of some special cases. In this note, we prove these conjectures for digraphs with girth is at least as large as their minimum out-degree and without short even cycles. More generally, we prove that if a digraph has sufficiently large girth and does not contain closed walks of certain lengths, then the conjectures hold. The proof makes use of some of the known results on the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture, properties of direct products of digraphs and a construction that multiplies the girth of a digraph.

Keywords and phrases: Cycles in digraphs, shortest cycles, directed girth, minimum out-degree, Behzad–Chartrand–Wall conjecture, Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture, direct product of digraphs.

2010 MSC: 05C20, 05C38, 05C76.

1 Introduction

Let D be a digraph with set of vertices $V(D)$, set of arcs $A(D)$ and order $|V(D)|$. We write $g(D)$ for the (directed) girth and $\delta^+(D)$ for the minimum out-degree of a vertex in D. Mostly, we simplify matters by representing the order of a digraph by n, its girth by g and its minimum out-degree by k. A digraph is said to be *simple* if it contains no parallel arcs directed from one vertex to another. An *oriented graph* is a simple digraph without any pair of symmetric arcs. All digraphs considered in this paper are simple but not necessarily oriented (unless explicitly stated). Therefore, we can represent an arc directed from a vertex u to a vertex v of a digraph D by uv. Moreover, here the terms 'cycle' and 'girth' always refer to a directed cycle and the directed girth, respectively.

The investigation of the cycle structure of digraphs mostly focuses on particular kinds of cycles and systems of cycles in digraphs. The study of shortest cycles forms an important part of this investigation. To this end, it is natural to look for good upper bounds on the girth of digraphs. A digraph is said to be *d-regular* (or *d-biregular*) if every vertex has in-degree and out-degree d. A d-regular digraph of girth g and of least order is called a *directed* (d, g)*-cage*. In 1970, Behzad, Chartrand, and Wall [3] conjectured that the order of a directed (d, g)-cage is $d(g-1)+1$. Equivalently, we have [21]:

Conjecture 1.1 (Behzad–Chartrand–Wall conjecture)**.** *Let G be a k-regular digraph of order n and girth g. Then $g \leq \lceil n/k \rceil$.*

The above conjecture was proved for $k = 2$ by Behzad [2], for $k = 3$ by Bermond [4], and for vertex-transitive digraphs by Hamidoune [11]. In 1978, Caccetta and Häggkvist [6] proposed to replace k-regularity in the statement of Conjecture 1.1 by specifying the minimum out-degree in the digraph instead. Thus the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture was born, which is currently one of the central open questions in graph theory.

Conjecture 1.2 (Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture)**.** *Every digraph of order n with minimum out-degree at least k has a cycle with length at most $\lceil n/k \rceil$.*

Only special cases of Conjecture 1.2 have been resolved. The case $k = 2$ was solved in the original paper of Caccetta and Häggkvist [6]. Later the conjecture was proved for $k = 3$ by Hamidoune [12] and $k = 4, 5$ by Hoàng and Reed [14]. Moreover, Shen [21] showed that the conjecture holds for all $k \leq \sqrt{n/2}$. Among large k, the case corresponding to $k = n/2$ trivially holds. However, the case $k = n/3$, or more generally $n/3 \leq k < n/2$, is already open, highly interesting and, for digraphs with minimum in-degree and out-degree both at least k, is implied by Seymour's second neighbourhood conjecture [23]. Some results on this case appear in [16, 19]. Another problem related to this case asks to determine the smallest $\alpha > 0$ such that any digraph of order n and minimum out-degree at least αn contains a cycle of length at most 3. If the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture holds then $\alpha = 1/3$. However, this is still open. The upper bound on α was progressively improved in [6, 5, 20, 9], in chronological order, to the current best of $\alpha \leq 0.3465$ in [10].

Since cycles of length $\lceil n/k \rceil$ or less proved elusive, Chvátal and Szemerédi [8] proposed to look for cycles of length at most $\frac{n}{k} + c$, for small c. They proved the existence of such cycles for $c = 2500$. This was improved to $c = 304$ by Nishimura [18] and to $c = 73$ by Shen [22]. For small n, the best upper bound of

$$g \leq 3 \left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \ \ln \left(\frac{2+\sqrt{7}}{3} \right) \right\rceil \approx 1.312 \ \frac{n}{k}$$

is due to Shen [22] that improves the earlier result $g \leq 2n/(k+1)$ by Chvátal and Szemerédi [8]. Shen [21] also proved the following result, which we use in the sequel and which implies that any counterexample to Conjecture 1.2 satisfies $n \leq 2k^2 - 3k$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let D be a digraph with $|V(D)| = n$, $\delta^+(D) = k$ and $g(D) = g$. Then*

$$g \leq \max\left\{\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil, 2k-2\right\}. \tag{1}$$

In January 2006, Chudnovsky, Seymour and Thomas organized a workshop at the American Institute of Mathematics to investigate the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture and its relatives. Sullivan [23] wrote an extensive survey of the different forms of the conjecture and related problems discussed at the workshop. However, no major progress has been made since Shen's papers [21, 22].

Here we prove the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture (and hence the Behzad–Chartrand–Wall conjecture) for any digraph D with odd girth $g(D) \geq \delta^+(D)$ and not containing even cycles of length less than $2g(D)$. The proof relies on some properties of direct product of digraphs and a construction that doubles the girth of a digraph with odd girth. The direct product of digraphs and other ingredients of our approach are discussed in the next section, while the proof appears in section 3. The same method shows that the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture is satisfied by any digraph D of girth $g(D) \geq 2\delta^+(D)/p$ not containing closed walks of lengths $p, 2p, \ldots, (g(D)-1)p$, for some positive integer $p \geq 2$. This is a draft version of the paper and will be expanded soon.

2 Walks, adjacency and direct products

Recall that all digraphs considered in this paper are simple. A *walk of length ℓ* in a digraph D is a sequence $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$ of not necessarily distinct vertices of D so that for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, $v_{i-1}v_i \in A(D)$. If $v_0 = v_\ell$, we have a *closed walk* [1]. Moreover, a closed walk on distinct vertices is a cycle. Clearly, a walk can have repeated arcs that form a multiset, which we call the *arc multiset* of the walk. We need the following fundamental result concerning closed walks in a digraph. A slightly weaker form of Lemma 2.1 appears in [1, Exercise 1.12], whereas the form we use appears in [24].

Lemma 2.1. *The arc multiset of a closed walk in a digraph decomposes into arc sets of (not necessarily distinct) cycles. Thus the length of a closed walk in a digraph equals the sum of lengths of the (not necessarily distinct) cycles it traverses.*

The *adjacency matrix* M_D of a digraph D of order n is an $n \times n$ matrix whose ij-entry, $(M_D)_{ij}$, is 1 if $v_iv_j \in A(D)$ and 0 otherwise [1]. The matrix M_D can be used to compute the number of closed walks of length ℓ containing a given vertex of D in the following way.

Lemma 2.2. *Let D be a digraph with vertex set $V(D) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and corresponding adjacency matrix M_D. Then $(M_D^\ell)_{ii}$, the ii-entry of the matrix power M_D^ℓ, equals the number of closed walks of length ℓ containing the vertex $v_i \in V(D)$.*

A (digraph) *product* is a binary operation defined on the class of all digraphs such that given digraphs D_1 and D_2, their product is a digraph with vertex set $V(D_1) \times V(D_2)$ – the Cartesian product of vertex sets of D_1 and D_2 – and whose arcs are defined according to

some condition depending on the arcs of D_1 and D_2. Some of the popular product operations include Cartesian product, direct product, strong product and lexicographic product (see [13, Chapter 32] for details). Here we are interested in the direct product.

The direct product of graphs was explicitly defined in [25] and extended to digraphs in a natural way in [17]. We denote the direct product of digraphs D_1 and D_2 by $D_1 \times D_2$ and define it to be a digraph with vertex set $V(D_1) \times V(D_2)$ such that an arc is directed from a vertex (u, v) to a vertex (x, y) if and only if $ux \in A(D_1)$ and $vy \in A(D_2)$. This product operation, also known as the tensor product, Kronecker product or categorical product, has the distinction of being the category-theoretic product arising in the category of digraphs and homomorphisms [13]. In addition, it has a natural connection with the Kronecker product of matrices that we describe a bit later.

Given a $p \times q$ matrix A and an $r \times s$ matrix B, the *Kronecker product* $A \otimes B$ is the $pr \times qs$ block matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1q}B \\ a_{21}B & \cdots & a_{2q}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{p1}B & \cdots & a_{pq}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following lemma (see, for example, Lemma 4.2.10 in [15]) relates Kronecker product with the usual matrix product.

Lemma 2.3. *If A, B, C and D be matrices such that the products AC and BD are defined, then*

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD.$$

Now we can describe the relationship between direct products of digraphs and Kronecker products of matrices.

Lemma 2.4. *For any digraphs D_1 and D_2,*

$$M_{D_1 \times D_2} = M_{D_1} \otimes M_{D_2}.$$

Let ℓ be a positive integers. We denote by C_ℓ the digraph consisting of a directed cycle of length ℓ. Also for any positive integer j and a digraph D, let jD denote the digraph consisting of the union of j (vertex and arc) disjoint copies of D. The result below appears as relation (32.1) in [13].

Lemma 2.5. *Given positive integers ℓ and m,*

$$C_\ell \times C_m = \gcd(\ell, m)\ C_{\mathrm{lcm}(\ell,m)},$$

where $\gcd(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{lcm}(\cdot,\cdot)$ denote the greatest common factor and the least common multiple operators, respectively.

3 Constructing digraphs of twice the girth

In this section, we present our main result. As mentioned earlier, the proof technique make use of Theorem 1.3, properties of direct product of digraphs and a construction of digraphs of larger girth from digraphs of smaller girth.

Theorem 3.1. *Let D be a digraph satisfying $g(D) \geq \delta^+(D)$ and not containing any even cycle of length less than $2g(D)$, Then D satisfies the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture.*

Proof. Let $|V(D)| = n$, $\delta^+(D) = k$ and $g(D) = g \geq k$. Let $D^\times = C_2 \times D$. Clearly, $V(D^\times) = 2n$ and $\delta^+(D^\times) = k$ and g is odd. We show that $g(D^\times) = 2g$. By Lemma 2.5, $g(D^\times) \leq \text{lcm}(2, g) = 2g$. We prove that $D^\times$ does not contain a cycle of length less than $2g$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$M_{D^\times} = M_{C_2 \times D} = M_{C_2} \otimes M_D$$

and using Lemma 2.3,

$$M_{D^\times}^\ell = (M_{C_2} \otimes M_D)^\ell = M_{C_2}^\ell \otimes M_D^\ell,$$

for any positive integer ℓ. Now Lemma 2.2 implies that if ℓ is a positive integer for which $D^\times$ contains a closed walk of length ℓ, then for some $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$, $p = 1, 2$ and $q = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $(M_{D^\times}^\ell)_{ii} = (M_{C_2}^\ell)_{pp}(M_D^\ell)_{qq} > 0$. This occurs if and only if both C_2 and D contain a closed walk of length ℓ. Now by Lemma 2.1, C_2 contains closed walks of every even length and no closed walks of odd length, whereas the closed walk of smallest even length in D has length $2g$. Thus $\ell \geq 2g$, which gives $g(D^\times) \geq 2g$. Thus $g(D^\times) = 2g$, as claimed.

Since $g(D^\times) = 2g \geq 2k = 2\delta^+(D^\times)$, by Theorem 1.3,

$$2\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{2n}{k} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{|V(D^\times)|}{\delta^+(D^\times)} \right\rceil \geq g(D^\times) = 2g.$$

This gives

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil \geq g,$$

completing the proof. □

One can generalize the technique used in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $p > 2$ be a positive integer and D be a digraph with $g(D) \geq 2\delta^+(D)/p$ not containing closed walks of lengths $p, 2p, \ldots, (g(D)-1)p$. Then D satisfies the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture.*

Proof. Let g, k and n be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider $D_p^\times = C_p \times D$ and note that $V(D_p^\times) = pn$ and $\delta^+(D_p^\times) = k$. By suitably generalizing the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that $g(D_p^\times) = pg$.

Since $g(D_p^\times) = pg \geq 2k = 2\delta^+(D_p^\times)$, by Theorem 1.3,

$$p\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{pn}{k} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{|V(D^\times)|}{\delta^+(D^\times)} \right\rceil \geq g(D^\times) = pg,$$

yielding

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil \geq g,$$

as desired. □

The key difference between Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 is that for the former it suffices to consider digraphs without short even cycles, whereas for the latter one has to rule out the existence of closed walks of lengths that are multiples of p. On the other hand, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 is valid only if the girth of the digraph is at least as large as its minimum out-degree, while Corollary 3.2 is applicable to digraphs of relatively smaller girths.

The next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Conjecture 1.1 holds for all k-regular digraphs having (i) girth $g \geq k$ that do not contain any even cycle of length less than $2g$ and (ii) girth $g \geq 2k/p$ that do not contain closed walks of lengths $p, 2p, \ldots, (g-1)p$, for some positive integer $p > 2$.*

Acknowledgments

The author is supported by a Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship (NSERC) and an Alberta Innovates Technology Futures (AITF) award.

References

[1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, *Digraphs - Theory, Algorithms and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[2] M. Behzad, Minimal 2-regular digraphs with given girth, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **25** (1973), 1–6.

[3] M. Behzad, G. Chartrand and C. E. Wall, On minimal regular digraphs with given girth, *Fund. Math.* **69** (1970), 227–231.

[4] J.-C. Bermond, 1-graphes réguliers minimaux de girth donné, Colloque sur la Théorie des Graphes (Paris, 1974), *Cahiers Centre Études Recherche Opér*, **17** (1975), no. 2-4, 125–135.

[5] J. A. Bondy, Counting subgraphs: a new approach to the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture, Graphs and Combinatorics (Marseille, 1995), *Discrete Math.* **165/166** (1997), 71–80.

[6] L. Caccetta and R. Häggkvist, On minimal digraphs with given girth, *Congress. Numer.*, **XXL** (1978), 181–187.

[7] M. Chudnovsky, P. Seymour and B. D. Sullivan, Cycles in dense digraphs, *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), no. 1, 1–18.

[8] V. Chvátal and E. Szemerédi, Short cycles in directed graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **35** (1983), no. 3, 323–327.

[9] P. Hamburger, P. Haxell and A. Kostochka, On directed triangles in digraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14** (2007), # N19.

[10] J. Hladký, D. Král' and S. Norin, Counting flags in triangle-free digraphs, *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.* **34** (2009), 621–625.

[11] Y. O. Hamidoune, Quelques problémes de connexité dans les graphes orientés, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **30** (1981), no. 1, 1–10.

[12] Y. O. Hamidoune, A note on minimal directed graphs with given girth, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **43** (1987), no. 3, 343–348.

[13] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Handbook of Product Graphs*, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, CRC Press, 2011.

[14] C. T. Hoàng, B. Reed, A note on short cycles in digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **66** (1987), no. 1-2, 103–107.

[15] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[16] N. Lichiardopol, Proof of the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture for oriented graphs with positive minimum out-degree and of independence number two, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013), no. 14, 1540–1542.

[17] M. H. McAndrew, On the product of directed graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1963), no. 4, 600–606.

[18] T. Nishimura, Short cycles in digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **72** (1988), no. 1-3, 295–298.

[19] A. Razborov. On the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture with forbidden subgraphs. *J. Graph Theory* **74** (2013), no. 2, 236–248.

[20] J. Shen, Directed triangles in digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **74** (1998), no. 2, 405–407.

[21] J. Shen, On the girth of digraphs. *Discrete Math.* **211** (2000), no. 1-3, 167–181.

[22] J. Shen, On the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture, *Graphs Combin.* **18** (2002), no. 3, 645–654.

[23] B. D. Sullivan, A summary of results and problems related to the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture, manuscript, URL: `http://www.aimath.org/WWN/caccetta/caccetta.pdf` (version: 2006-04-14).

[24] J. Trimble, Decomposing a closed walk in a directed graph into cycles, Computer Science Stack Exchange, URL: `http://cs.stackexchange.com/q/53493` (version: 2016-02-22).

[25] P. M. Weichsel, The Kronecker product of graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), no. 1, 47–52.